

#17

FILED

2009 APR 27 PM 12:53

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

BY _____

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

JORGE LARA,)

Defendant.)

CASE NO.

CR 08-688-

ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly involving:

1. () a crime of violence.

2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death.

3. (X) a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years .

4. () any felony - where the defendant has been convicted of two or more prior offenses described above.

5. () any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves a minor victim, or possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon, or a failure to register under 18 U.S.C § 2250.

B. (X) On motion by the Government / () on Court's own motion, in a case

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING (18 U.S.C. §3142(i))

1 allegedly involving:

2 (X) On the further allegation by the Government of:

3 1. (X) a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

4 2. () a serious risk that the defendant will:

5 a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.

6 b. () threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror or
7 attempt to do so.

8 C. The Government (X) is/ () is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no
9 condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's
10 appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community.

11
12 II.

13 A. (X) The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will
14 reasonably assure:

15 1. (X) the appearance of the defendant as required.

16 (X) and/or

17 2. (X) the safety of any person or the community.

18 B. (X) The Court finds that the defendant has not rebutted by sufficient
19 evidence to the contrary the presumption provided by statute.

20
21 III.

22 The Court has considered:

23 A. the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the
24 offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor
25 victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device;

26 B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;

27 C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and

28 D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or to the community.

IV.

The Court also has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing and the arguments and/or statements of counsel, and the Pretrial Services Report/recommendation.

V.

The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:

A. ☒ As to flight risk:

Flight risk is presumed in this case.

B. ☒ As to danger:

Danger is presumed in this case.

VI.

A. ☐ The Court finds that a serious risk exists that the defendant will:

1. ☐ obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.

2. ☐ attempt to/ ☐ threaten, injure or intimidate a witness or juror.

1 B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

9 VII.
10

11 A. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the defendant be detained prior to trial.

12 B. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be committed to the custody
13 of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to
14 the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being
15 held in custody pending appeal.

16 C. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant be afforded reasonable
17 opportunity for private consultation with counsel.

18 D. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the United States
19 or on request of any attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the
20 corrections facility in which the defendant is confined deliver the defendant
21 to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection
22 with a court proceeding.
23
24

25
26 DATED: 4/27/2009

Margaret A. Nagle
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27
28